

ACTIVE-MATRIX LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY SUITABLE
FOR HIGH-DEFINITION DISPLAY, AND DRIVING METHOD THEREOF

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention generally relates to a liquid crystal display and a driving method thereof. More particularly, the present invention relates to the configuration of an active-matrix liquid crystal display suitable for high-definition display.

2. Description of the Related Art

In the art of liquid crystal displays (hereinafter sometimes abbreviated as LCDs) for use in a variety of electronic devices, the demand for improved image quality has increased, and high-definition displays have become more and more popular. In particular, in order to address the reduced pixel pitch and increased number of pixels in high-definition display, an LCD using a TFT active-matrix driving method in which a thin film transistor (hereinafter abbreviated as TFT) is used as a switching element in each pixel has been used. In one approach that has been proposed, a plurality of driver ICs allocate and apply image signals to multiple signal lines (source lines) from the upper and lower sides of a display region.

Fig. 3 illustrates an example configuration of a TFT-LCD of this type. An LCD 100 in this example includes a plurality of source lines 102 ($S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{3m-1}, S_{3m}$) and a

09870295-052901

plurality of gate lines 103 (G_1, \dots, G_n) arranged in a matrix on a display region 101. Regions defined by the source lines 102 and the gate lines 103 correspond to pixels. A gate driver 104 (driver IC) for applying scanning signals to the gate lines 103 is mounted on the left side of the display region 101 shown in Fig. 3. On the upper and lower sides of the display region 101, source drivers 105 and 106 (driver ICs) for applying image signals to the source lines 102 are mounted, respectively. In this example, the plurality of source lines 102 are grouped into pairs, and source line pairs are alternately connected to the upper and lower source drivers 105 and 106, as shown in Fig. 3. For example, the leftmost pair of source lines 102 is connected to the lower source driver 106, the next horizontal pair of source lines 102 is connected to the upper source driver 105, and so on.

As used herein, the pitch between the adjacent source lines 102 is defined as pixel pitch P . If a single source driver were mounted on either side of a display region to drive all source lines, then the connection pitch between adjacent output terminals of the source driver would be equal to P . In the above-described configuration, however, the connection pitch P_0 between adjacent output terminals of the source drivers 105 and 106 is approximately expressed as $P_0 = 2P$ since source line pairs are alternately connected to the source drivers 105 and 106, resulting in a wider connection pitch. The same is true if every other source

proportional to parasitic capacitance C of a source line, and is herein defined as $E = t/C$. This equation therefore corresponds to $E_0 = t_0/C_0$ in the conventional liquid crystal display shown in Fig. 3.

As previously described, recent TFT-LCDs have been incorporated in high-definition displays, thereby increasing the pixel density (the number of pixels per unit length or per unit area). The higher the pixel density, the narrower the pixel pitch, leading to a narrower connection pitch between drivers and LCD signal lines, thus making it difficult to connect therebetween. In particular, the pitch between source lines is inherently narrower than that between gate lines, and this problem is more noticeable. Such a configuration, in which multiple source lines are allocated to two source drivers, is fast approaching the upper limit of fabrication and connection technology.

Furthermore, increasing the number of pixels throughout the display reduces the write time per pixel while simultaneously increasing the parasitic capacitance on a single source line, thus reducing the ease of writing the image signals. Hence, the source drivers suffer from insufficient throughput and insufficient ability to drive electric current. Therefore, another problem exists in that more sophisticated and more expensive source drivers are required.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, in view of the foregoing problems, it is an object of the present invention to provide a liquid crystal display that enhances the connection between source drivers and LCD signal lines and increases the ease of writing of image signals even if the pixel density is increased, and to provide a driving method thereof.

To this end, in one aspect of the present invention, a liquid crystal display has a pair of substrates which face each other and a liquid crystal is held therebetween. The liquid crystal display includes a plurality of source lines and a plurality of gate lines arranged in a matrix on one of the pair of substrates, the plurality of source lines each being divided into two groups in the direction of extension of the source line. The liquid crystal display further includes a first source driver to apply image signals to one group of the divided source lines, a second source driver to apply image signals to the other group of the divided source lines, a first gate driver to apply scanning signals to the plurality of gate lines that extend across the one group of the divided source lines, and a second gate driver to apply scanning signals to the plurality of gate lines that extend across the other group of the divided source lines. The liquid crystal display further includes a switching unit to switch and allocate an image signal from each of the first and second source drivers to a predetermined number of source lines.

The thus constructed liquid crystal display satisfies

the connection pitch and ease of writing requirements in view of the following points.

The liquid crystal display includes a first gate driver which handles gate lines which extend across one of two groups into which source lines are divided, and a second gate driver which handles gate lines which extend across the other group of the divided source lines, but does not include the above-described switching unit. If the first and second gate drivers simultaneously scan the gate lines, then the write time t_1 required for a signal to be written in one pixel is two times longer than the write time t_0 of a conventional liquid crystal display having n gate lines to be scanned, as shown in Fig. 3, such that $t_1 = (1/60) \times (2/n) = 2t_0$.

Since the source lines are divided into two groups, the number of pixels (the number of gate lines) on a single source line is expressed as $n/2$. If the parasitic capacitance per pixel is indicated as C , then the parasitic capacitance C_1 of a single source line is found by $C_1 = (n/2) \times C = (1/2) \times C_0$, which is reduced to half that of the conventional device.

Therefore, the ease of writing E_1 at the source drivers is given by $E_1 = t_1/C_1 = 4E_0$, which is improved by a factor of four over that of the conventional device.

With respect to the connection pitch, however, a liquid crystal display having no switching unit requires the number of outputs of the source drivers which is equal to the

number of source lines, i.e., the connection pitch P_1 equal to the pixel pitch P . This results in connection pitch P_1 which is reduced to half the connection pitch P_0 of the conventional device shown in Fig. 3, thus, making it more difficult to connect between the source drivers and the source lines and is not practical for LCDs having high pixel density.

Accordingly, a liquid crystal display of the present invention includes a switching unit to switch and distribute an image signal from each of the source drivers to a predetermined number of source lines. This requires a smaller number of outputs of source drivers than the number of source lines, thereby making the connection pitch P_1 equal to or less than the connection pitch P_0 of the conventional device shown in Fig. 3.

However, a switching unit temporally allocates a signal from an output of a source driver to a plurality of source lines, thus reducing the write time per pixel. As previously described, the ease of writing E_1 at source drivers of a liquid crystal display which does not include a switching unit is improved by a factor of four over that of the conventional device. However, a liquid crystal display including a switching unit provides lower ease of writing than the ease of writing E_1 as a signal is allocated to a larger number of source lines, and may provide even lower ease of writing than that of the conventional device if the number of source lines is increased by a large amount.

Accordingly, the number of source lines allocated to a switching unit may be appropriately set to realize an LCD which satisfies requirements on both the ease of writing of signals and the ease of connection between source drivers and source lines.

Preferably, the number of source lines allocated to the switching unit is 2 to 4. Three source lines are more preferable, as will be described in detail.

In another aspect of the present invention, there is provided a driving method of a liquid crystal display having a switching unit for switching and allocating an image signal from each of first and second source drivers to three source lines. Image signals having inverse polarities are output from adjacent outputs of the first and second source drivers.

Therefore, dot reverse driving source drivers are used to easily achieve dot reverse driving with less cross-talk.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a schematic view of a liquid crystal display according to one embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a graph showing the relationship of the demultiplexer ratio relative to the ease of writing and the connection pitch; and

Fig. 3 is a schematic view of an example of a conventional liquid crystal display.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS.

One embodiment of the present invention is described with reference to Figs. 1 and 2.

Fig. 1 schematically shows a TFT active-matrix liquid crystal display 1 according to an embodiment of the present invention. The liquid crystal display 1 includes a first source driver 4, a second source driver 5, a first gate driver 6, and a second gate driver 7.

The liquid crystal display 1 has a plurality of source lines 2 ($S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{3m-1}, S_{3m}$) and a plurality of gate lines 3 (G_1, \dots, G_n) arranged in a matrix on a display region 8. Regions defined by the source lines 2 and the gate lines 3 correspond to pixels. Each of the pixels includes a TFT and a pixel electrode although the components are not shown. The source lines 2 and gate lines 3 may be formed from any conducting material, preferably a metal such as Al if an opaque material is desired or InSnO if an optically transparent material is desired.

The plurality of source lines 2 are each divided into two groups in the direction of extension of the source line 2. Image signals are applied from the first source driver 4 to a first group 2a (the upper group in Fig. 1) of the divided source lines 2. Image signals are also applied from the second source driver 5 to a second group 2b (the lower group in Fig. 1). The first gate driver 6 operates to apply scanning signals to one segment of the plurality of gate lines 3a (in the illustrated example, G_1 to $G_{n/2}$) which

extends across the first group 2a of the divided source lines 2. The second gate driver 7 operates to apply scanning signals to the other segment of the plurality of gate lines 3b (in the illustrated example, $G_{n/2+1}$ to G_n) which extends across the second group 2b of the divided source lines 2. The number of scanning signals applied by each of the gate drivers 6, 7 do not have to be divided equally, however.

The liquid crystal display 1 further includes a demultiplexer 10 between the first source driver 4 and the first source line group 2a, and a demultiplexer 11 between the second source driver 5 and the second source line group 2b. The demultiplexer 10 switches and allocates an image signal output from the source driver 4 to a predetermined number of the source lines 2a, and the demultiplexer 11 switches and allocate an image signal output from the source driver 5 to a predetermined number of source lines 2b. In the illustrated embodiment, an image signal output from the source driver 4 is allocated to three adjacent source lines 2a, and an image signal output from the source driver 5 is allocated to three adjacent source lines 2b. In the following description, a demultiplexer of this type is referred to as a 3:1 demultiplexer. The demultiplexers 10, 11 may be any demultiplexer known in the art of multiplexing and demultiplexing signals.

The first and second source drivers 4 and 5 according to the illustrated embodiment are dot reverse driving source

demultiplexers 10 and 11 is allocated is described below.

A liquid crystal display having no demultiplexer in which source lines are divided into two groups, which are then coupled to separate source drivers, has been previously described in the "SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION" section. That is, in the present invention, the write time t_1 is two times longer than that in the conventional device, and the parasitic capacitance C_1 of a source line is reduced to half that of the conventional device, thus improving the ease of writing E_1 at source drivers by a factor of four over the conventional device. However, since the connection pitch P_1 is reduced to half that of the conventional device, connection between the source drivers and the source lines may be difficult.

Fig. 2 depicts the relationship of the demultiplexer ratio relative to the ease of writing and the connection pitch. As used herein, the demultiplexer ratio is defined as "the ratio of the number of source lines corresponding to one output of a demultiplexer to one output". In Fig. 2, the ease of writing at source drivers is indicated by the white or unshaded circles, and the connection pitch is indicated by the shaded circles. A dotted line at level E_0 of the ease of writing and another dotted line at level $2P = P_0$ of the connection pitch indicate conventional levels, and superiority of the conventional device is represented by area above the dotted lines.

The above-described liquid crystal display that does

not include a demultiplexer is equivalent to a liquid crystal display having 1:1 demultiplexers. At a demultiplexer ratio 1:1, the ease of writing is indicated as $4E_0$ (although not shown in Fig. 2), which is much higher than the conventional device; however, the connection pitch $1P$, i.e., half the conventional level, which is inferior to the conventional device.

If 2:1 demultiplexers are used, the required number of outputs of the source drivers may be half the number of source lines, and the connection pitch P_2 is two times wider than the pixel pitch P , which is equivalent to the conventional pitch P_0 , i.e., $P_0 = 2P$. Since the signal lines are divided into two groups, the parasitic capacitance C_2 is expressed by $C_2 = C_1 = (1/2) \times C_0$, similarly to the above case of 1:1 demultiplexers, while the write time is calculated by $t_2 = (1/2) \times t_1 = t_0$ due to the 2:1 demultiplexers. The ease of writing E_2 is thus given by $E_2 = t_2/C_2 = 2E_0$. Therefore, this configuration may improve the ease of writing to be two times higher than the conventional device while maintaining the connection pitch at the conventional level.

If 4:1 demultiplexers are used, the required number of outputs of the source drivers may be one quarter the number of source lines, and the connection pitch P_4 is increased by a factor of four over the pixel pitch P , which is two times wider than the conventional device. Similarly to the above case of 2:1 demultiplexers, the parasitic capacitance C_4 is

expressed by $C_4 = C_2 = (1/2) \times C_0$, while the write time is calculated by $t_4 = (1/4) \times t_1 = (1/2) \times t_0$ due to the 4:1 demultiplexers. The ease of writing E_4 is thus given by $E_4 = t_4/C_4 = E_0$. Therefore, this configuration may improve the connection pitch to be two times wider than the conventional device while maintaining the ease of writing at the conventional level.

If 3:1 demultiplexers are used, the required number of outputs of the source drivers may be one third the number of source lines, and the connection pitch P_3 is increased by a factor of three over the pixel pitch P . The connection pitch P_3 is therefore $3/2$ wider than the conventional connection pitch P_0 , i.e., $P_0 = 2P$, thus providing sufficient connection pitch compared to the conventional device. Similarly to the above cases, the parasitic capacitance C_3 is expressed by $C_3 = C_4 = C_2 = C_1 = (1/2) \times C_0$, which is reduced to half that of the conventional device, while the write time is calculated by $t_3 = (1/3) \times t_1 = (2/3) \times t_0$ due to the 3:1 demultiplexers. The ease of writing E_3 is thus given by $E_3 = t_3/C_3 = (4/3) \times E_0$. Therefore, this configuration may improve the connection pitch to be $3/2$ times wider than the conventional device, while improving the ease of writing to be $4/3$ times higher than the conventional device, thereby allowing for an improvement in view of both requirements.

Accordingly, if it is desired to maintain one of the connection pitch or the ease of writing at the conventional

number of driver ICs, and the scanning method is not limited to the illustrated embodiment. It will be anticipated by a person skilled in the art that a variety of modifications may be made.

09870295 053901